# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		)	
	Plaintiff,	)	
<b>v.</b>		)	Criminal Action No. 06-69-GMS
		)	
LIONEL BELL		)	
		· )	
	Defendant.	)	

## GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM ON THE APPLICATION OF 18 U.S.C. § 924(e)

NOW COMES the United States of America, by and through its undersigned attorneys, and hereby responds to Defendant's Argument in Opposition to the Application At Sentencing of 18 U.S.C. § 924(e), and respectfully requests that this Court apply the Armed Career Criminal Act to the defendant's sentence.

#### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

On June 15, 2006, the federal Grand Jury for the District of Delaware returned a one-count Indictment, charging the defendant, Lionel Bell, with being a felon in possession of a firearm, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1). On December 8, 2006, the defendant entered a guilty plea to Count One of the Indictment. Under the plea agreement, the defendant reserved his right to challenge the applicability of the Armed Career Criminal Act (ACCA), 18 U.S.C. § 924(e), at sentencing. The defendant's relevant criminal history is as follows:

On April 22, 2002, a Delaware Grand Jury returned an eighteen-count Indictment, charging the defendant with, among other things, three felony counts of Burglary in the Third Degree, in

violation of Title 22, Section 824 of the Delaware Code. See Burglary Indictment, Gov't Ex. 2. On May 25, 2002, the defendant pleaded guilty in the Superior Court of the State of Delaware in and for New Castle County, to three Burglary counts. See Burglary Plea Agreement, Gov't Ex. 3; Burglary Plea Colloquy, Gov't Ex. 4. The Indictment for the first count admitted by the defendant (Count III of the state Indictment) charges that, on February 12, 2002, the defendant "did knowingly enter or remain unlawfully in a building located at 1801 N. Broom Street, Wilmington Delaware, with the intent to commit the crime of Theft therein." Gov't Ex. 2. The second count (Count VII of the state Indictment) charges that on February 20, 2002, the defendant "did knowingly enter or remain unlawfully in a building located at 1801 W. 14th Street, Wilmington Delaware, with the intent to commit the crime of Theft therein." Id. The third count (Count XII of the state Indictment) charges that on February 20, 2002, the defendant "did knowingly enter or remain unlawfully in a building located at 618 Union Street, Wilmington Delaware, with the intent to commit the crime of Theft therein." Id. The defendant was sentenced concurrently on each count to two years of incarceration, but this sentence was suspended immediately for six months of work release at supervision Level 4, to be followed by eighteen months of probation at supervision Level 3. See Burglary Sentence and Judgment, Gov't Ex. 5.

On March 2, 2004, the defendant pleaded guilty in the Superior Court of the State of Delaware in and for New Castle County, to Assault First Degree, in violation of Title 11, 613 of the Delaware Code, and to Possession of a Firearm during the Commission of a Felony, in violation of Title 11, Section 1447A of the Delaware Code. *See* Assault Plea Agreement, Gov't Ex. 7. The assault count of the Indictment charged that, on May 23, 2003, the defendant "did recklessly engage in conduct which created a substantial risk of death to Joseph Toner, by, *inter alia*, struggling with

him while in possession of a loaded firearm, which conduct caused serious physical injury to Joseph Turner." *See* Assault Indictment, Gov't Ex. 6. The defendant was sentenced concurrently on each count to five years of incarceration, which was suspended after serving two years of this term for an additional year on probation at supervision Level 3. *See* Assault Sentence and Judgment, Gov't Ex. 8.

#### **ARGUMENT**

The defendant appropriately concedes that his conviction for Assault First Degree is a "violent felony" under the ACCA, but disputes that his three convictions for Burglary Third Degree so qualify. Defendant Br. at 2. The Government submits that, considering the Indictment, plea agreement, plea colloquy and certified record of conviction, this Court should find that the defendant's burglary convictions are "violent felonies" under the ACCA, and therefore, sentence him as an armed career criminal under the Act.

### A. Background on the ACCA

The Armed Career Criminal Act (ACCA), 18 U.S.C. § 924(e), provides that a defendant convicted of possession of a firearm by a convicted felon, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922(g), is subject to a mandatory sentence of 15 years of imprisonment if the defendant has three prior convictions "for a violent felony or a serious drug offense." The ACCA, in turn, defines a "violent felony" as "any crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year . . . that (I) has as an element the use, attempted use, or threatened use of physical force against the person of another;

or (ii) is burglary, arson, or extortion, involves use of explosives, or otherwise involves conduct that presents a serious potential risk of physical injury to another." 18 U.S.C. § 924(2)(B).

The ACCA, however, does not further define the term "burglary." In *Taylor v. United States*, 495 U.S. 575 (1990), the Supreme Court adopted the "generic, contemporary meaning of burglary," which "contains at least the following elements: an unlawful or unprivileged entry into, or remaining in, a building or other structure, with intent to commit a crime." *Id.* at 598.

In addition, *Taylor* adopted the "categorical approach" to determining whether a prior burglary conviction falls within this definition. Under the categorical approach, a sentencing court may look to the fact of conviction and the statutory definition of the offense, and may consider the indictment or information, jury instructions, plea agreement, plea colloquy, or certified records of conviction to show that each of the elements of generic burglary were necessarily found by virtue of the elements of the offense, the facts found by the factfinder, or admissions by the defendant. *See Shepard v. United* States, 544 U.S. 13, 20-21 (2005) (holding that in cases resolved by guilty plea, the sentencing court may also consider the "statement of factual basis for the charge, shown by a transcript of plea colloquy or by written plea agreement presented to the court, or by a record of comparable findings of fact adopted by the defendant upon entering the plea"); *United States v. Bennett*, 100 F.3d 1105, 1110 (3d Cir. 1996) (finding that all the elements of generic burglary were satisfied, where defense counsel admitted in a letter to Bennett's probation officer, and during his federal sentencing, that Bennett's convictions involved a removal of a window from a Fotomat booth

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The United States Sentencing Guidelines Section 4B1.4 incorporates Section 924(e), by providing that "[a] defendant who is subject to an enhanced sentence under the provisions of 18 U.S.C. § 924(e) is an armed career criminal," and by specifying a corresponding offense level and criminal history category.

and the theft of film, a burglary from a variety store, and a throwing of a brick through a bakery window.). The categorical approach, however, limits the ability of a sentencing court to consider other extrinsic evidence outside of these enumerated sources to determine whether the prior conviction in fact qualifies as a "violent felony" under the ACCA. See Shepard, 544 U.S. at 21 (holding that a sentencing court may not look "beyond conclusive records made or used in adjudicating guilt" under the ACCA).

#### The ACCA Applies to Bell's Three Convictions under Delaware's Third Degree Burglary В. Statute.

To determine whether the defendant's three Burglary Third convictions qualify as "violent felonies," this Court must decide if the word "building" in the Delaware indictment, Gov't Ex. 2, has the same meaning as a "building" or structure within the generic definition of burglary. See Taylor, 495 U.S. at 598. Thus this case hinges on when a "building" is in fact a building.

The defendant has three prior convictions for Burglary in the Third Degree under Title 11, Section 824 of the Delaware Code. Section 824 provides that "[a] person is guilty of burglary in the third degree when the person knowingly enters or remains unlawfully in a building with intent to commit a crime therein." The Delaware Code further provides, however, that the term "building," "in addition to its ordinary meaning" includes "any structure, vehicle, or watercraft." 11 Del. C. § 222(1). The Government concedes that the Delaware crime of Burglary Third Degree is broader than the generic definition of burglary because it is not limited to structures comprised of walls and a roof, but also includes vehicles and watercraft.2 Where a state statute "define[s] burglary more

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In Bennett, 100 F.3d 1105, the Third Circuit considered whether three convictions under Pennsylvania's burglary statute constituted predicate offenses under the ACCA. Pennsylvania's burglary statute, 18 Pa. Cons. Stat. Ann. § 3501, is similar in its breadth to Delaware's burglary statute in that it prohibits unlawful entries into "any structure, vehicle, or place adapted for overnight

broadly than Congress's generic definition," a conviction under the statute can nevertheless "serve as a predicate offense for a Section 924(e) sentence enhancement if the court concludes that the trier of fact actually found all of the elements of generic burglary in convicting the defendant." Bennett. 100 F.3d at 1109.

1. The Indictment and Plea Colloquy Establish that the Defendant's Three Burglary Convictions Meet the Definition of Generic Burglary.

The defendant argues that the plea agreement, Gov't Ex. 3, and plea colloquy, Gov't Ex. 4, "offer no further information" as to the "nature of the 'building' entered unlawfully." Defendant Br. at 5. But the plea colloquy as well as the Indictment, Gov't Ex. 2, do provide additional information establishing that the defendant's crimes involved entry into a building, in the generic sense of the word.

On April 22, 2002, the defendant was charged in an eighteen count Indictment, which included three counts each of Burglary Third Degree and conspiracy second degree, five counts of theft, four counts of Criminal Mischief, and one count of Possession of Burglars' Tools. See Gov't Ex. 2. The defendant pleaded guilty to the three Burglary Third Degree counts, and a nolle prosequi order was entered on the remaining counts of the Indictment. See Gov't Ex. 3.

The three burglary charges to which the defendant pleaded guilty, however, go further than track the statutory language of the Burglary Third Degree statute, 11 Del. C. § 824, because each count specifies the physical address of the building that the defendant unlawfully entered. See Gov't

accommodation of persons, or for carrying on business therein." The Third Circuit found that Pennsylvania's statute was broader than generic burglary because it included vehicles adapted for overnight accommodation, and because it included "any place adapted for 'carrying on business'. . . which are not always confined to structures made up of walls and a roof." Id. at 1109.

Ex. 2. Similarly, during the plea colloquy, the defendant agreed that "[he] or one of the other codefendants" "knowingly and unlawfully entered a building located at 1801 North Broom Street, intending to steal something" as to Count III of the Indictment; "entered or remained unlawfully in 1801 West Broom Street, with intent to commit theft," as to Count VII of the Indictment; and "did knowingly... enter[] or remain[] unlawfully in a building known [as] 1618 Keen Street, with intent to commit a crime of theft," as to Count XII of the Indictment. See Gov't Ex. 4.

The defense asserts, without support, that this same language would have been used even if the crime involved entry into a vehicle, and that it is not Delaware practice to "spell[] out what the so-called 'building' was." Defendant Br. at 5. But in this case, the Indictment and the plea colloquy did spell out what the "building" was — it was a physical location at a specified address. *Compare Williams v. State*, 884 A.2d 512, 2005 WL 2414375, at \*2 n.6 (Del. Supr. 2005) (substituting the term "vehicle" for "building" in the Burglary Third Degree statute, 11 Del. C. § 824, in a case involving a vehicle break-in: "A person is guilty of burglary in the third degree when the person knowingly enters or remains unlawfully in a [vehicle] with intent to commit a crime therein."). The plea colloquy, moreover, would make little sense if the court was actually referring to a vehicle rather than a structure. In particular, in discussing Count XII of the Indictment, the court referred to the "building known [as] 1618 Keen Street," Gov't Ex. 4 — a statement which can only be interpreted as referring to a physical structure at that address.

By consulting other charges in the Indictment,<sup>3</sup> it is apparent that the term "building," as used in this case, connotes its commonly understood meaning — a structure with four walls and a roof, located at a particular address — rather than the technical, statutory definition. For example, Count VIII of the Indictment, which charged the defendant with Possession of Burglars' Tools, specifies that the defendant possessed a flashlight and bolt cutters, "whiling breaking into a building and removing a safe." *See* Gov't Ex. 2. It is nonsensical to suggest that the use of bolt cutters to break into a building and remove a safe could apply to a vehicle. In this context, the term "building" clearly refers to an actual physical structure, fitting within the generic definition of burglary.

Indeed, it is plain that it is Delaware practice to specify when a crime occurred in relation to a vehicle or other item, rather than a building. For example, in the counts of the Indictment that charged the defendant with Theft and Criminal Mischief, the Indictment specifies that the crimes involved: a "1994 Jeep Cherokee belonging to Traci Parman" (Counts I and II), "United States currency and two safes belonging to the Salesianum School" (Count IV), "property consisting of safes, doors, and food belonging to Salesianum School" (Count V), "United States Currency and a safe belonging to Kid Shalleens" (Count IX), "property consisting of doors and windows belonging

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>While the Third Circuit has not addressed the issue, other courts of appeals have recognized that a court may, consistent with the categorical approach, consider extrinsic evidence for the limited purpose of interpreting the meaning of the indictment or plea agreement. See United States v. Beasley, 442 F.3d 386, 392-93 (6th Cir. 2006) (permitting a district court "called upon to determine precisely what state court offense was reflected in the 'CA:M2' notation on Defendant's judgment of conviction . . . to consult[] reliable sources-or, indeed, apply[] basic common sense-to resolve such questions about the proper interpretation of words or notations that appear on the face of a judgment."); see also United States v. Redding, 16 F.3d 298, 303 (8th Cir. 1994) (permitting the sentencing court to consult the date of conviction, case number, length and type of sentence, and the name of the sentencing judge to show that a prior conviction in another name was in fact the defendant's conviction which could be used to enhance his sentence under the ACCA).

to Kid Shalleens" (Count XI), "United States Currency and a change box belonging to the Dead Presidents Pub" (Count XIII), and "doors and a safe belonging to the Dead Presidents Pub" (Count XV). Gov't Ex. 2. The Indictment therefore consistently uses terms in the commonly understood meaning of words.

Given the specification of a particular address, the wording of the plea colloguy, and the practice of using plain language in the Indictment, it is apparent that the defendant's three convictions for Burglary Third Degree meet the elements of generic burglary and qualify as "violent felonies" under the ACCA.

Alternatively, the Defendant's Convictions Fall Within the ACCA's "Residual 2. Provision" as They Presented a Serious Potential Risk of Physical Injury to Another.

Taylor limited its holding to a determination of "what offenses should count as 'burglaries' for enhancement purposes," and noted that "[t]he Government remains free to argue that any offense-including offenses similar to generic burglary-should count towards enhancement as one that 'otherwise involves conduct that presents a serious potential risk of physical injury to another' under § 924(e)(2)(B)(ii)." Taylor v. United States, 495 U.S. at 600 n.9. Therefore, even if the defendant's prior convictions do not meet the definition of generic burglary, they do qualify as crimes which "present[] a serious potential risk of physical injury to another," and thus constitute "violent felonies" under the "residual provision" of the ACCA, 18 U.S.C. § 924(2)(B)(ii).

In April, 2007, the Supreme Court recently applied this provision, holding that unlawful entry into the enclosed curtilage surrounding a residence qualifies as a predicate offense under the ACCA, not as "burglary," but under the "residual provision," which encompasses crimes that "otherwise involves conduct that presents a serious potential risk of physical injury to another." James v. United States, 127 S.Ct. 1586, 1599-1600 (April 18, 2007). James also relied on that provision in holding

that attempted burglary is a "violent felony." Even though the Court recognized that attempted burglary is not "burglary," it found that it nevertheless qualified as a violent felony because such attempts involve the same risks of physical injury as the completed offense. The Court found that "[t]he main risk of burglary arises not from the simple physical act of wrongfully entering onto another's property, but rather from the possibility of a face-to-face confrontation between the burglar and a third party-whether an occupant, a police officer, or a bystander-who comes to investigate." *Id*.

At a minimum, the Indictment and plea colloquy make clear that the defendant unlawfully entered a particular address, with the intent to commit a crime of theft inside. Such actions present at least the same risks of physical confrontation and thus, physical injury, as the attempted burglary and entry onto the curtilage presented in *James*, and therefore constitute "violent felonies" under the ACCA. See, e.g., United States v. Bockes, 447 F.3d 1090, 1092 (8th Cir. 2006) (holding that Missouri offense of vehicle tampering by operation is a "violent felony" under the ACCA's residual clause because it "creates the risk of a violent confrontation with the vehicle's owner or with law enforcement, places the criminal in control of a potentially deadly or dangerous weapon, and makes it likely that 'the thief may be pursued, or perceive a threat of pursuit, and drive recklessly, turning any pursuit into a high-speed chase with potential harm to innocent people, to the police, and to the thief himself.").

## 3. <u>It is the Federal, Not the State Definition of "Violent Felony" That Controls</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>This result is not foreclosed by *Bennett*, which did not "reach the issue of whether a conviction under Pennsylvania's burglary statute automatically qualifies as a § 924(e) 'violent felony' as a crime 'otherwise involv[ing] conduct that presents a serious risk of physical injury to another," because the court found the record "sufficient to demonstrate all of the elements of generic burglary for each of Bennett's burglary convictions." 100 F.3d at 1110 n.2.

The defendant contends that Burglary Third Degree can never constitute a "violent felony" under the ACCA because Delaware has classified Burglary Third Degree as a "non-violent" felony, in contrast to Burglary First and Second Degree which Delaware classifies as "violent felonies." In applying the statutory definition of "violent felony" under a federal statute, however, the state's classification of the crimes is not relevant. Rather, it is the elements of the state offense, and the facts otherwise proven or admitted by the defendant, not the state's label or classification of the offense, which are determinative. Thus the Supreme Court held in *Taylor*,

It seems to us to be implausible that Congress intended the meaning of "burglary" for purposes of § 924(e) to depend on the definition adopted by the State of conviction. That would mean that a person convicted of unlawful possession of a firearm would, or would not, receive a sentence enhancement based on exactly the same conduct, depending on whether the State of his prior conviction happened to call that conduct "burglary."

\* \* \*

We think that "burglary" in § 924(e) must have some uniform definition independent of the labels employed by the various States' criminal codes.

495 U.S. at 590-92; see also United States v. Sacko, 247 F.3d 21, 25 (1st Cir. 2001) ("[B]ecause a state's classification of a crime generally reflects different policy considerations than the federal classification, it is simply not relevant to the determination of whether a crime is a 'violent felony'" under federal law); United States v. Baskin, 886 F.2d 383, 389 (D.C. Cir. 1989) (holding that a state offense is a crime of violence if it meets the sentencing guidelines' definition regardless of how the state may characterize the crime for its own purposes).<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>It is also worth noting that both Burglary First Degree and Burglary Second Degree are apparently broader than the generic definition of "burglary." Burglary Second Degree applies to entries into "dwellings" or "buildings," when the defendant is armed with a deadly weapon, or causes physical injury to another. 11 Del. C. § 825. Burglary First Degree requires entry into a "dwelling at night," and the use of a deadly weapon or physical injury to another. 11 Del. C. § 826.

### **CONCLUSION**

The Government respectfully requests that this Court find that the defendant's three burglary convictions, in addition to his prior assault conviction, qualify as predicate offenses under the ACCA, and sentence him as an armed career criminal under the Act.

Respectfully submitted,

COLM F. CONNOLLY UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

Ilono U Diconotoin

Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: May 3, 2007.

However, Delaware law defines "dwelling" as "a building which is usually occupied by a person lodging therein at night." 11 Del. C. § 929 (emphasis added). Since "building" includes watercraft and vehicles, none of Delaware's burglary statutes would automatically qualify as "violent felonies" under the ACCA, unless it is shown, as here, that there was unlawful entry into a building, in its generic sense, or that they meet the standard of the ACCA's residual provision for "crimes that presents a serious potential risk of physical injury to another."

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	)
v.	) Criminal Action No. 06-69-GMS
LIONEL DELL	) .
LIONEL BELL,	)
Defendant.	)

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Jennifer Brown, an employee in the Office of the United States Attorney, hereby certify under penalty of perjury that on May 2, 2007, I electronically filed:

### GOVERNMENT'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM ON THE APPLICATION OF 18 U.S.C. §924(e)

with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF. Said document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF, which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following:

James A. Natalie, Jr., Esquire Woloshin Lynch, Natalie & Gagne, PA 3200 Concord Pike Wilmington, DE 19803

> /s/Jennifer Brown Jennifer Brown

## **APPENDIX**

## TABLE OF GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
1	Burglary Docket Sheet
2	Burglary Indictment, April 22, 2002
3	Burglary Plea Agreement, September 2, 2002
4	Burglary Plea Colloquy Transcript, September 5, 2002
5	Burglary Judgment and Sentencing Order, September 5, 2002
6	Assault Indictment, September 22, 2003
7	Assault Plea Agreement, March 2, 2004
8	Assault Judgment and Sentencing Order, March 2, 2004

## **GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 1**

Case 1:06-cr-00069-GMS Document 28-2 Filed 05/02/2007 Page 3 of 47

> IOR COURT CRIMINAL DOCKET ( as of 05/18/2006 )

Page

DOB: 11/23/1982

State of Delaware v. LIONEL BELL

State's Atty: , Esq.

AKA: LIONEL M BELL

Defense Atty: KEVIN J O'CONNELL, , Esq.

LIONEL M BELL

Co-Defendants: KEENO EXUM , JULIUS WILSON Co-Defendants: KEENO EXUM , LIONEL BELL Co-Defendants: JULIUS WILSON

#### Assigned Judge:

Cha	irges:				
Cou		Crim.Action#	Description	Dispo.	Dispo. Date
- 00		IN02041730W	BURGLARY 3RD	PG	00 (05 (2002
	0202014552	VN0204173001	VIOL O/PROBATN	VF	09/05/2002
•	0202014552	VN0204173002	VIOL O/PROBATN	VF	10/01/2002 03/20/2003
	0202014552	VN0204173003	VIOL O/PROBATN	VF	05/20/2003
	0202014552	VN0204173004	VIOL O/PROBATN	VF	06/27/2003
00:		IN02041731W	CRIM MISC>1500	NOLP	03/02/2004
000		IN02041732W	CONSPIRACY SECO	NOLP	09/05/2002
. 004		.IN02041733W	THEFT SENIOR	NOLP	09/05/2002
005		IN02041734W	BURGLARY 3RD	PG	09/05/2002
	0202014552	VN0204173401	VIOL O/PROBATN	VF	09/05/2002
	0202014552	VN0204173402	VIOL O/PROBATN	VF	10/01/2002 03/20/2003
	0202014552	VN0204173403	VIOL O/PROBATN	VF	06/27/2003
	0202014552	VN0204173404	VIOL O/PROBATN	VF	03/02/2004
006		IN02041735W	POSS BURG TOOLS	NOLP	09/05/2004
007		IN02041736W	THEFT SENIOR	NOLP	09/05/2002
008		IN02041737W	CONSPIRACY SECO	NOLP	09/05/2002
009		IN02041738W	CRIM MISC <1000	NOLP	09/05/2002
010		IN02041739W	BURGLARY 3RD	PG	09/05/2002
	0202014552	VN0204173901	VIOL O/PROBATN	VF	10/01/2002
	0202014552	VN0204173902	VIOL O/PROBATN	VF	03/20/2003
	0202014552	VN0204173903	VIOL O/PROBATN	VF	06/27/2003
	0202014552	VN0204173904	VIOL O/PROBATN	VF	03/02/2004
1	0202014552	VN0204173905	VIOL O/PROBATN	VF '	10/25/2005
011	0202014552	VN0204173906	VIOL O/PROBATN		20/20/2005
011	0202014552	IN02041740W	THEFT SENIOR	NOLP	09/05/2002
012	0202014552	IN02041741W	CONSPIRACY SECO	NOLP	09/05/2002
013	0202014552	IN02041742W	CRIM MISC <1000	NOLP	09/05/2002
014	0202014552	IN02041743W	BURGLARY 3RD	NOLP	09/05/2002
015	0202014552	IN02041744W	CONSPIRACY SECO	NOLP	09/05/2002
017	0202014552	IN02041745W	THEFT < \$1000	NOLP	09/05/2002
017	0202014552	IN02041764W	THEFT SENIOR	NOLP	09/05/2002
	0202014552	IN02041765W	CRIM MISCH>1500	NOLP	09/05/2002
-	Event		•	•	
No.	Date	Event		Judge	

Case 1:06-cr-00069-GMS Document 28-2 Filed 05/02/2007 Page 4 of 47 RIOR COURT CRIMINAL DOCKET

Judge

(as of 05/18/2006)

Page State of Delaware v. LIONEL BELL

DOB: 11/23/1982 State's Atty: , Esq. AKA: LIONEL M BELL

Defense Atty: KEVIN J O'CONNELL , Esq. LIONEL M BELL Event

Date No. Event 04/22/2002

CASE CONSOLIDATED WITH: 0203006031

04/22/2002 INDICIMENT, TRUE BILL FILED.NO 36 ARRAIGNMENT AND BAIL REPRESENTATION 5/3/02 AT 8:30

CASE REVIEW 6/3/02 AT 9:00 3 04/22/2002

AUTHORIZATION FOR EXTRADITION. 4 04/22/2002

RULE 9 WARRANT ISSUED. 5 04/24/2002

NOTICE OF SERVICE - DISCOVERY RESPONSE

6 04/24/2002 SUMMONS MAILED.

05/03/2002 REYNOLDS MICHAEL P. ARRAIGNMENT CALENDAR, CONTINUED.

051702 FOR SHER.SERV. 8 05/08/2002 SUMMONS SERVED BY SHERIFF.

05/13/2002 SUMMONS SENT BY SHERIFF RETURNED.

NON EST. - LEFT COPY 5/10/02 10 05/28/2002 RULE 9 WARRANT RETURNED, BAIL SET AT JP COURT 20

SECURED BAIL-RELEASED 5,000.00 100% UNSECURED BOND

12,000.00 100% 11 06/19/2002 GEBELEIN RICHARD S. SUMMONS MAILED.

06/24/2002 12 GEBELEIN RICHARD S. FAST TRACK CALENDAR CAPIAS ORDERED.

13 06/27/2002 -CAPIAS RETURNED IN SUPERIOR COURT. REYNOLDS MICHAEL P. BAIL SAME AS PREV. SET & POSTED SECURED BAIL-RELEASED 5,000.00 100% UNSECURED BOND 12,000.00 100% RTSC WITHDRAWN

FVCR 7/15/02 AT 2:00 14 07/10/2002 GEBELEIN RICHARD S. SUMMONS MAILED. 07/15/2002

FAST TRACK CALENDAR/CASE REVIEW: SET FOR FAST TRACK FINAL CASE REVIEW

ERIOR COURT CRIMINAL DOCKET (as of 05/18/2006)

Page

3

State of Delaware v. LIONEL BELL State's Atty: , Esq. DOB: 11/23/1982 Defense Atty: KEVIN J O'CONNELL , Esq. AKA: LIONEL M BELL LIONEL M BELL Event No. Date Event Judge 07/25/2002 FAST TRACK CALENDAR/FINAL CASE REVIEW: CONT'D, COURT'S REQUEST--LACK 08/08/2002 FAST TRACK CALENDAR/FINAL CASE REVIEW: CAPIAS ORDERED GEBELEIN RICHARD S. 15 08/08/2002 RULE TO SHOW CAUSE RETURNED\_SIGNED BY LORIN JONES, BONDSMAN. GEBELEIN RICHARD S. 16 08/12/2002 CAPIAS RETURNED IN SUPERIOR COURT. REYNOLDS MICHAEL P. BAIL SAME AS PREV. SET & POSTED SECURED BAIL-RELEASED 5,000.00 100% UNSECURED BOND 12,000.00 100% RTSC WITHDRAWN FVCH 9/5/02 AT 9:30 08/12/2002 BAIL FORFEITURE HEARING: MOOT REASON: RTSC WITHDRAWN AT CAPIAS RETURN ON 8/12/02 17 09/05/2002 FAST TRACK CALENDAR/FINAL CASE REVIEW: PLED/SENTENCED IMMEDIATELY GEBELEIN RICHARD S. 20 09/05/2002 SENTENCE: ASOP ORDER SIGNED AND FILED 11/20/02 GEBELEIN RICHARD S. 18 09/13/2002 ADMINISTRATIVE WARRANT FILED - LEVEL (3). SILVERMAN FRED S. PROBATION OFFICER: M. LENHARDT BAIL SET: SECURED BAIL-HELD 20,000.00 09/13/2002 OPERATION SAFE STREETS VOP HEARING: MOVED TO NEW TRACK. SILVERMAN FRED S. 10/01/02 VOP W/RSG 19 09/26/2002 ORDER SCHEDULING TRIAL FILED. TRIAL DATE:\_11/26/02 CASE CATEGORY:\_\_2 ASSIGNED JUDGE (CATEGORY 1 CASES ONLY): UNLESS THE COURT IS ADVISED WITHIN 2 WEEKS OF THE UNAVAILABILITY OF NECESSARY WITNESSES, THE COURT WILL CONSIDER THE MATTER READY FOR TRIAL. ABSENT EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES, RESCHEDULING OR CONTINUANCE REQUESTS WILL BE DENIED. 10/01/2002

VIOLATION-OF-PROBATION HEARING: DEFENDANT FOUND IN VIOLATION.

21 03/20/2003

OPERATION SAFE STREETS HEARING: DEFENDANT FOUND IN VIOLATION.

S' RIOR COURT CRIMINAL DOCKET Page (as of 05/18/2006) State of Delaware v. LIONEL BELL DOB: 11/23/1982 AKA: LIONEL M BELL

State's Atty: , Esq.

Defense Atty: KEVIN J O'CONNELL , Esq.

LIONEL M BELL

Event

No. Date

Event

Judae

SENTENCED.

22 03/20/2003

ADMINISTRATIVE WARRANT FILED - LEVEL (3). PROBATION OFFICER: DAVID COLE

23 03/20/2003

HERLIHY JEROME O.

SENTENCE: ASOP VOP ORDER SIGNED AND FILED 04/30/03. 24 06/03/2003 GEBELEIN RICHARD S. VIOLATION-OF-PROBATION REPORT FILED: CAPIAS REQUESTED.

PROBATION OFFICER: DAVID C. COLE LEVEL: III

25 06/11/2003

WHITE DAVID A.

CAPIAS RETURNED IN SUPERIOR COURT.

BAIL SET AT:

CASH BAIL

2,500.00 100%

COND: REPORT TO P.O. WITHIN 48 HOURS OF RELEASE

VOP 6/27/03 AT 9:30 BEFORE J. GEBELEIN

.06/27/2003

GEBELEIN RICHARD S.

VIOLATION-OF-PROBATION HEARING: DEFENDANT FOUND IN VIOLATION.

26 06/27/2003

GEBELEIN RICHARD S.

SENTENCE: VOP ASOP ORDER SIGNED AND FILED 7/10/03

01/08/2004

MODIFIED SENTENCE: ASOP ORDER SIGNED AND FILED 1/15/04 GEBELEIN RICHARD S. RESTITUTION

28 03/02/2004

27

HERLIHY JEROME O.

VIOLATION-OF-PROBATION HEARING: DEFENDANT FOUND IN VIOLATION. SENTENCED.

29 03/02/2004

HERLIHY JEROME O.

VOP ASOP ORDER SIGNED AND FILED ON 3-26-04.

31 10/17/2005

REYNOLDS MICHAEL P.

COMMITMENT TO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION.

30 10/18/2005

ADMINISTRATIVE WARRANT FILED - LEVEL ( ).

PROBATION OFFICER:

REYNOLDS MICHAEL P.

BAIL HEARING HELD THIS DATE AND BAIL SET ON VOPS AS FOLLOWS:

BAIL SET: HELD WITHOUT BAIL VOP 10/25/05 @ 9:00

0.00

10/25/2005

CARPENTER WILLIAM C. JR. VIOLATION-OF-PROBATION HEARING: DEFENDANT FOUND IN VIOLATION.CONTINUED

ON PROBATION (WITH OR WITHOUT) MODIFICATION.

10/25/2005

CARPENTER WILLIAM C. JR.

Case 1:06-cr-00069-GMS Document 28-2 Filed 05/02/2007 Page 7 of 47 Page

(as of 05/18/2006)

State of Delaware v. LIONEL BELL

State's Atty: , Esq. AKA: LIONEL M BELL

Defense Atty: KEVIN J O'CONNELL , Esq.

LIONEL M BELL

DOB: 11/23/1982

Event

No. Date Event Judge 

SENTENCE: VOP ASOP ORDER SIGNED AND FILED ON 11/10/05.

CARPENTER WILLIAM C. JR. VIOLATION-OF-PROBATION REPORT FILED: SUMMONS REQUESTED.

PROBATION OFFICER: KENNETH D. MCDOWELL

VOP HEARING HELD ON 10/25/05. 34

04/13/2006 CARPENTER WILLIAM C. JR. VIOLATION-OF-PROBATION REPORT FILED: SUMMONS REQUESTED. PROBATION OFFICER: WILLIAM COYLE LEVEL: 3

VOP HEARING: 04/28/06 @9 AM.

04/25/2006

CARPENTER WILLIAM C. JR. VOP SENTENCING CALENDAR, CAPIAS ORDERED.

\$2,500.00 CASH.

35 05/06/2006

CAPIAS RETURNED IN JP COURT #20. BAIL SET AT: HELD ON CASH BOND: 2,500

SUPERIOR COURT CAPIAS RETURN SCHEDULED FOR: 05/08/2006 @8:30AM 36

05/08/2006 REYNOLDS MICHAEL P. CAPIAS RETURNED IN SUPERIOR COURT.

BAIL SET AT:

CASH BAIL VOP 5/16/06 @ 9:00

2,500.00 100%

37 05/08/2006 REYNOLDS MICHAEL P. COMMITMENT TO DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION FILED. (HRYCI)

> \*\*\* END OF DOCKET LISTING AS OF 05/18/2006 \*\*\* PRINTED BY: CSCMMON

> > CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ATTEST SHARON AGNEW PROTHONOTARY BY Miles mat

## **GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 2**

### RULE 9 WARRANT - BELL

## RULE 9 SUMMONS - EXUM AND WILSON

PROTHONOTARY
2007 AP 22 PM 1:10

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE
IN AND FOR NEW CASTLE COUNTY

STATE OF DELAWARE

V.

INDICTMENT BY THE GRAND JURY

TONEL BULL
KEENO EXUM
JULIUS WILSON

I.D. **1.** 020201453 and 0203006031 0202014531 and 0203006009 0202014553 and 0203006034

The Grand Jury charges FONT BELL, KEENO EXUM AND JULIUS WILSON

with the following offenses:

COUNT I. A FELONY

#N #N THEFT in violation of Title 11, Section 841 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did take, exercise control over or obtain with intent to appropriate, property consisting of a 1994 Jeep Cherokee belonging to Traci Parman and valued at greater than \$500.00.

#### COUNT II. A FELONY

T#N=(	D2 -	04.	- 17	65 u	اب
# <u>N</u>		·	-		
#N	٠		-		

CRIMINAL MISCHIEF in violation of Title 11, Section 811 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did intentionally cause damage to property consisting of a 1994 Jeep Cherokee belonging to Traci Parman and valued in excess of \$1,500.00.

#### **COUNT III. A FELONY**

TIN DZ	- 04-	173	0.W
# <u>N</u>	<del></del>		
# <u>N</u>			

BURGLARY THIRD DEGREE in violation of Title 11, Section 824 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did knowingly and unlawfully enter a building located at 1801 N. Broom Street, Wilmington, Delaware, with the intent to commit the crime of theft therein.

## COUNT IV. A FELONY

	2-04-	1733 W
# <u>N</u>		
#N		

THEFT in violation of Title 11, Section 841 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did take, exercise control over or obtain with intent to appropriate, property consisting of United States currency and two safes belonging to Salesianum School and valued at greater than \$1,000.00.

### COUNT V. A FELONY

THIN SOL	_	0	 1731	1 W
# <u>N</u>		<del></del> -		
#N				

CRIMINAL MISCHIEF in violation of Title 11, Section 811 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did intentionally cause damage to property consisting of safes, doors and food belonging to Salesianum School and valued at in excess of \$1,500.00.

#### COUNT VI. A FELONY

AN O	2 -	04		173	2W
#N			(	~	
п	<del></del>				
#N					

CONSPIRACY SECOND DEGREE in violation of Title 11, Section 512 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, when intending to promote the commission of a Felony, Burglary Third Degree and/or Theft and/or Criminal Mischief, did agree with each other to engage in conduct constituting said felonies and defendant and/or co-defendant, with whom the defendant conspired, did commit an overt act in pursuance of said conspiracy.

#### COUNT VII. A FELONY

Tro	2	 04-	1	734n
# <u>N</u>		 		
# <u>N</u>		 		

BURGLARY THIRD DEGREE in violation of Title 11, Section 824 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did knowingly enter or remain unlawfully in a building located at 1801 W. 14th Street, Wilmington, Delaware, with the intent to commit the crime of Theft therein.

## COUNT VIII. A FELONY

F#N C	12 - 1	04-	1735	فرس
# <u>N</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
 # <u>N</u>				

POSSESSION OF BURGLAR'S TOOLS in violation of Title 11, Section 828 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County-of New Castle, State of Delaware, did possess a flashlight and bolt cutters, tools commonly used to facilitate offenses involving unlawful entry onto premises, whiling breaking into a building and removing a safe, thus evincing an intent to use said tools in the commission of such offense.

## COUNT IX. A FELONY

PROL-	04-	1736 W
# <u>N</u>		
# <u>N</u>		

THEFT in violation of Title 11, Section 841 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did take, exercise control over or obtain with intent to appropriate, property consisting of United States currency and a safe belonging to Kid Shalleens and valued at greater than \$1,000.00.

## COUNT X. A FELONY

T#N	O}_	- 04	-17	31	w
_# <u>N</u>		-			
#N	-		-		

CONSPIRACY SECOND DEGREE in violation of Title 11, Section 512 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, when intending to promote the commission of a Felony, Burglary Third Degree and/or Theft and/or Possession of Burglar's Tools, did agree with each other to engage in conduct constituting said felony and defendant and/or codefendant, with whom the defendant conspired, did commit an overt act in pursuance of said conspiracy.

## COUNT XI. A MISDEMEANOR

TAN OF	_	04-	1738W
#N			,
# <u>11</u>	<u> </u>		
#N			

CRIMINAL MISCHIEF in violation of Title 11, Section 811 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did intentionally cause damage to property consisting of doors and windows belonging to Kid Shalleens and valued at less than \$1,000.00.

#### COUNT XII. A FELONY

18 9 2	04-17	39 W
# <u>N</u>	· .	
#N		

BURGLARY THIRD DEGREE in violation of Title 11, Section 824 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did knowingly enter or remain unlawfully in a building located at 618 Union Street, Wilmington, Delaware, with the intent to commit the crime of Theft therein.

#### **COUNT XIII. A FELONY**

(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	-04-1740 n
# <u>N</u>	<u> </u>
#N	

THEFT in violation of Title 11, Section 841 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

LECTURE SEED, KEENO EXUM AND JULIUS WILSON, on or about the 20th day of February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did take, exercise control over or obtain with intent to appropriate, property consisting of United States currency and a change box belonging to Dead Presidents Pub and valued at greater than \$1,000.00.

#### COUNT XIV. A FELONY

mn (32)	. 04-	1741	'n
# <u>N</u>	· .		
#N			

CONSPIRACY SECOND DEGREE in violation of Title 11, Section 512 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, when intending to promote the commission of a Felony, Burglary Third Degree and/or Theft did agree with each other to engage in conduct constituting said felony and defendant and/or co-defendant, with whom the defendant conspired, did commit an overt act in pursuance of said conspiracy.

## COUNT XV. A MISDEMEANOR

1#N-02	_	04-	1742 n
# <u>N</u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
#N			•

CRIMINAL MISCHIEF in violation of Title 11, Section 811 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did intentionally cause damage to property consisting of doors and a safe belonging to Dead Presidents Pub and valued at less than \$1,000.00.

#### COUNT XVI. A FELONY

J/N	Q2·04-	1743 w
.# <u>N</u>		
#N		

BURGLARY THIRD DEGREE in violation of Title 11, Section 824 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did knowingly enter or remain unlawfully in a building located at 906 Union Street, Wilmington, Delaware, with the intent to commit the crime of Theft therein.

## COUNT XVII. A FELONY

E 02	 04-	1744 W
# <u>N</u>	 · · · · · ·	
# <u>N</u>	 	

CONSPIRACY SECOND DEGREE in violation of Title 11, Section 512 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, when intending to promote the commission of a Felony, Burglary Third Degree, did agree with each other to engage in conduct constituting said felony and defendant and/or co-defendant with whom the defendant conspired, did commit an overt act in pursuance of said conspiracy.

#### COUNT XVIII. A MISDEMEANOR

E AN GE	- 04 -	1745W
# <u>N</u>	<u></u>	
#N	·	,

THEFT in violation of Title 11, Section 841 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

February, 2002, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did take, exercise control over or obtain with intent to appropriate, property consisting of United States currency belonging to Kozy Korner and valued at less than \$1,000.00.

A TRUE BILL

(FOREPERSON)

ATTORNEY GENERAL

DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ATTEST SHARON AGNEW

PROTHONOTARY

BY Willes min

# **GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 3**

Case 1:06-cr-00069-GMS	Document 28-2	Filed 05/02/2007	Page 20 of 4
Superior Cou the	State of Delawa		County

## PLEA AGREEMENT

State of Di	<u>700                                   </u>
State of Delaware v. Lionell 130 13017273	X
Case No(s): Cr.A.#s:	
02 0300603) □ Tide 11HAB. OFFENDER	BOOT CAMP ELIGIBLE   INELIGIBLE
☐ RULE 11(e)(1)(C) — If out of guideline, reason is as fo	ilows:
☐ Title 11, §4336, sex offender notification required	☐ Title 11, §9019(e), forensic fine ☐ \$100(F), ☐ \$50(M)
Defendant will plead guilty to:	
Count Cr.A.# Charge	[LIO if applicable]
12 IN 02.04.1739W Run	\\\\Z\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
7 IN02.04.1734W RW	314,
3 IN 02.04.1730W BW	2 3rd
	3
	1>
Upon the sentencing of the defendant, a nolle proseque charges on this indictment:	ui is entered on [] the following charges// All remaining
Count Cr.A# Charge	
	<b>V</b> _
Sentence Recommendation/Agreement: PSI	Immediate Sentencing  SwsP1D FOR 6 MWTMS
AT L. II (Prummer	
AT L. III + I	
State and Defendant agree to the following:	
Restitution: 40 all ur Im	
□ No	ontact w/
☐ Other Conditions:	
diskup VOT	
DAG W. GEOICE	
DAG: OCOLY PRINT NAME	DEF. COUNSEL: KENIN O'CONFR
Will Deal	RINT NAME 0
SIGNATURE	SIGNATURE
7	SIGNALIKE
Date: 9-5-02	CERNIFIED AS ATHUE CODE
	ATTEST SHARON AGNEW
XC: Attorney for Defendant, Defendant Attorney General, Attorney General Worksheet	PROTHONOTARY Page of
	William & Day Friend

## **GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 4**

1

1	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE
2	IN AND FOR NEW CASTLE COUNTY
3	STATE OF DELAWARE CRIMINAL PROCEEDINGS
4	vs. ID NO.0202014552
- 5	
6	LIONEL BELL,
7	Defendant.
8	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE RICHARD S. GEBELEIN, J.
10	
11	APPEARANCES:
12	
13	WILLIAM GEORGE, ESQUIRE Deputy Attorney General
14	For the State
15	KEVIN O'CONNELL, ESQUIRE For the Defendant
16	Tor the berchaare
17	Courtroom 4B
18	Thursday, September 5, 2002.
19	GUILTY PLEA AND SENENCING
20	
21	
22	
23	

Jean Preston, CSR Official Court Reporter 500 N. King Street Suite 2609 Wilmingtron, DE. 19801-3725

Filed 05/02/2007

Page 23 of 47

GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING

4

1	September 5, 2002
2	(WHEREUPON, the following takes place in open
3	court.)
4	MR. GEORGE: Your Honor, this is Mr. Bell.
5	Mr. Bell is entering a guilty plea to three
6	counts of burglary third, Counts III, VII, XII. He is
7	admitting to the violation of probation. The State's
8	going to recommend that he be discharged as unimproved on
9	the other violation of probation. We're going to
10	recommend a total of six years Level V suspended for six
11	months at Level IV, Plummer Center, hold at Level III,
12	the balance at Level III, II and I.
13	I'm handing to The Court the Plea Agreement
14	and the Guilty Plea Form.
15	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, this is Lionel
16	Bell standing to my right. He has executed the Guilty
17	Plea Form in my presence. I think he understood and
18	answered truthfully all of the questions on that form, he
19	understood the penalties he's exposing himself to, and he
20	asks The Court to accept this plea as knowingly
21	intelligently and voluntarily made and that there is a
22	basis in fact for it, and that he is ready to answer Your
23	Honor's questions.
	7 D 'COD

Jean Preston, CSR Official Court Reporter 500 N. King Street Suite 2609 Wilmingtron, DE. 19801-3725

3

1 in addition to that to get you to plead guilty? 2 THE DEFENDANT: No. 3 THE COURT: Has anybody threatened you or 4 forced you in any way to make you plead guilty? 5 THE DEFENDANT: No. 6 THE COURT: You uderstand that by pleading 7 guilty you are not going to have a trial? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: And that you are giving up all of 10 the rights that you would have had at a trial? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 12 THE COURT: These particular offenses happen to 13 be felonies and carry together a maximum of up to nine 14 years in prison and you have two years on the VOP that you 15 got, so a total consequence of the plea could be up to 11 16 vears in prison. 17 Do you understand that? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Has anybody promised you what 20 sentence you actually will receive? 21 THE DEFENDANT: No.

> Jean Preston, CSR Official Court Reporter 500 N. King Street Suite 260 Wilmingtron, DE. 19801-3725

THE COURT: Have you discussed your case and

## GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING

THE COURT: Are you Lionel Bell? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: Are you presently under the influence of either alcohol or drugs?

4 5

1

2

3

23

THE DEFENDANT: No, I'm not.

6 THE COURT: Have you freely and voluntarily 7

decided to enter these pleas today?

8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.

9 THE COURT: The Plea Agreement says that you

10 are going to enter please of guilt to three counts of 11 burglary third degree. The State in return is going to

12 enter a nolle prosequi or drop all of the remaining

13 charges against you and is going to recommend immediate

14 sentencing, six years in prison suspended for six months 15

at Level V, for Work Release. You will be held at Level 16 III and the balance at Levels III, II and I. You agree to

17 make restitution to all the victims according to a

18 Presentence Report, participate in substance abuse

19 evaluation and treatment. The State's going to recommend 20

that the violation of probation be discharged.

21 Is that your understanding? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: Has anybody promised you anything

GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING

5

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have. THE COURT: Are you satisfied with his advise?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

your rights with your lawyer?

4 THE COURT: Count III of the indictment charges that you, on or about the 12th day of February, 2002, New 6 Castle County, State of Delaware, you did knowingly and 7 unlawfully enter into a buuilding located at 1801 North 8 Broom Street with the intent to commit the crime of theft 9 therein.

Did you do that?

11 THE DEFENDANT: No, I didn't.

MR. O'CONNELL: May I have a moment, Your

13 Honor?

22

2

3

10

12

14

15

THE COURT: Sure.

(WHEREUPON, there is a discussion off the

16 record.)

17 MR. O'CONNELL: He didn't understand the 18 question, Your Honor. If you could ask him again?

19 THE COURT: Okay. Basically, what this charges

20 is that you on or about the 12th day of February, 2002,

21 you or one or the other co-defendants in this case, 22

knowingly and unlawfully entered into a building located at 1801 North Broom Street, intending to steal something.

Official Court Reporter 500 N. King Street Suite 2609 Wilmingtron, DE. 19801-3725

Jean Preston, CSR Official Court Reporter 500 N. King Street Suite 2609 Wilmingtron, DE. 19801-3725

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 Were you involved in that? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I was involved. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And it is your desire to 4 enter a plea of guilt to it today? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 THE COURT: Count VII charges, likewise, that 7 on the 20th day of February, 2002. New Castle County. 8 State of Delaware, you or one of the co-defendants 9 knowingly entered or remained unlawfully in 1801 West 10 Broom Street, with the intent to commit theft. 11 Again, you were involved in that? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 13 THE COURT: And you want to enter a plea of guilty? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Count 12 charges that you, on or 16 about the 20th day of February, 2002, New Castle County, 17 State of Delaware, did knowingly, or one of the 18 co-defendants, entered or remained unlawfully in a 19 building known 1618 Keen Street, with the intent to commit 20 the crime of theft? 21 Again, were you involved in that? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 23 THE COURT: And you want to plead guilty to it?

> Jean Preston, CSR Official Court Reporter 500 N. King Street Suite 2609 Wilmingtron, DE. 19801-3725

answer my me plea and give me Work Release. I think it's a lot better Level V; at least I would be working.

That's all I can ask.

THE COURT: Mr. Bell. I guess obviously you're a young man, and as the State has indicated there is not a significant criminal record here.

However, I do note that the other offense that you pled guilty to in December of 2001 was a felony. This is a felony. You are acquiring a record that's going to get you qualified at an early age of being an habitual offender if you keep doing things like this.

The State is being I think fairly generous today not asking you to go to jail for these burglaries vou were involved in.

It would be my suggestion to you to take advantage of that break and get through this probation in a way that doesn't bring you back before the Court because I don't think you can expect them to give you the same sort of break the next time.

Okay. So, with regard to zero, 0201739, pay the costs of prosecution, you are to make restitution according to a present memorandum, that you be placed in the custody of the Department of Corrections for a period

Jean Preston, CSR Official Court Reporter 500 N. King Street Suite 2609 Wilmingtron, DE. 19801-3725

#### GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING

7

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. THE COURT: The Court finds your guilty pleas to be knowingly, voluntarily and intelligently made and I direct that a finding of guilt be entered.

MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, with respect to sentencing. This gentleman is working right now. He should be working today at Sears as a matter of fact. The State recognizes he doesn't have as a significant record as the other defendants in the case nor was he probably as directly involved in the burglaries as they were. Nonetheless, he did participate.

We think the sentencing recommendation is appropriate. Work Release is a step up from what he was on before.

15 Hopefully, that type of supervision will get 16 him on the right track so you won't see him back in court 17 again.

18 We ask that The Court follow the 19 recommendations.

20 Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

21 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bell, is there anything 22 that you would like to say?

THE DEFENDANT: I just ask that The Court

Jean Preston, CSF Official Court Reporter 500 N. King Street Suite 2609 Wilmingtron, DE. 19801-3725

#### GUILTY PLEA AND SENTENCING

9

1 of two years Level V incarceration to begin on September 2 5, 2002. That is suspended for two years Level IV. 3 That's going to be Work Release. After six months that 4 is reduced to Level III for eighteen months. You will be 5 held at Level III until Level IV is available. 6

With regard to 02041734 it is the order of The Court that you pay the costs of prosecution, pay a fine in the amount of a \$100 plus the surcharges, that you be placed in the custody of the Department of Corrections for two years Level V to run consecutive. That is suspended for two years initially at Level II, probation. After one year that is reduced to level one for the remaining year.

With regard to 02041730, it is the order of The Court that you pay the costs of prosecution, pay a fine in the amount of a \$100 plus the surcharge, two years Level V to run consecutive. That is suspended for two years, Level II, to run concurrent to the probation in 1734. After one year that is reduced to Level I for one year.

01110105 is discharged as unimproved. It is the order of The Court that you be eevaluated by the Treatment Access Center and be subject

Jean Preston, CSR Official Court Reporter 500 N. King Street Suite 2609 Wilmingtron, DE. 19801-3725

to their supervision for treatment purposes.

Good luck.

(WHEREUPON, the proceeding are concluded.)

STATE OF DELAWARE)

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

NEW CASTLE COUNTY)

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

I, Jean Preston, Official Court Reporter of the Superior Court, State of Delaware, do hereby certify that the foregoing is an accurate transcription of the proceedings had as reported by me in the Superior Court of the State of Delaware, in and for New Castle County, in the case herein stated, as the same remains of record in the Office of the Prothonotary at Wilmington, Delaware, and that I am neither of counsel nor kin to any party or participant in said action, nor interested in the outcome thereof.

This certification shall be considered null and void if this transcript is disassembled in any manner by any party without authorization of the signatory below.

WITNESS my hand this day of MARCH, 2007

Jean Preston, CSR Official Court Reporter

Jean Preston, CSR Official Court Reporter 500 N. King Street Suite 2609 Wilmingtron, DE. 19801-3725

# **GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 5**

## IN AND FOR NEW CASTLE COUNTY

STATE OF DELAWARE

vs.

LIONEL BELL

Alias: NO ALIASES

DOB: 11/23/1982 SBI: 00460715

CASE NUMBER: 0202014552

CRIMINAL ACTION NUMBER:

IN02-04-1739W BURGLARY 3RD(F) IN02-04-1734W

BURGLARY 3RD(F) IN02-04-1730W

BURGLARY 3RD (F)

RELEASE

#### MODIFIED SENTENCE ORDER

NOW THIS 8TH DAY OF JANUARY, 2004, IT IS THE ORDER OF THE COURT THAT: THE ORDER DATED September 5, 2002 IS HEREBY MODIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

The defendant is adjudged guilty of the offense(s) charged.  $\omega$  The defendant is to pay the costs of prosecution and all statutory surcharges.

AS TO IN02-04-1739-W : TIS BURGLARY 3RD

The defendant shall pay his/her restitution joint/severally as follows: See attached list of Payees.

Effective September 5, 2002 the defendant is sentenced as follows:

- The defendant is placed in the custody of the Department of Correction for 2 year(s) at supervision level 5
  - Suspended immediately
- For 2 year(s) supervision level 4 <u>WORK RELEASE</u> \*\*APPROVED ORDER\*\* 1 January 14, 2004 15:01

PROTHICKOTARY

STATE OF DELAW . T

VS.

LIONEL BELL

DOB: 11/23/1982 SBI: 00460715

- Suspended after serving 6 month(s) at supervision level
- For 18 month(s) supervision level 3
- Hold at supervision level 3
- Until space is available at supervision level 4 <u>WORK</u> <u>RELEASE</u>

AS TO IN02-04-1734-W : TIS BURGLARY 3RD

The defendant is to pay a fine in the amount of \$100.00 plus all surcharges and fees (see attachment).

The defendant shall pay his/her restitution joint/severally as follows: See attached list of Payees.

- The defendant is placed in the custody of the Department of Correction for 2 year(s) at supervision level 5
  - Suspended immediately
  - For 2 year(s) supervision level 2
- Suspended after serving 1 year(s) at supervision level 2
- For 1 year(s) supervision level 1

Probation is consecutive to criminal action number 02-04-1739

AS TO IN02-04-1730-W : TIS BURGLARY 3RD

The defendant is to pay a fine in the amount of \$100.00 plus all surcharges and fees (see attachment).

The defendant shall pay his/her restitution joint/severally as follows: See attached list of Payees.

\*\*APPROVED ORDER\*\* 2 January 14, 2004 15:01

STATE OF DELAW: E

VS.

LIONEL BELL

DOB: 11/23/1982 SBI: 00460715

- The defendant is placed in the custody of the Department of Correction for 2 year(s) at supervision level 5

- Suspended immediately
- For 2 year(s) supervision level 2
- Suspended after serving 1 year(s) at supervision level 2
- For 1 year(s) supervision level 1

Probation is concurrent to criminal action number 02-04-1734 .

SPECIAL CONDITIONS BY DER

STATE OF DELAWARE VS.

LIONEL BELL DOB: 11/23/1982

SBI: 00460715

## CASE NUMBER: 0202014552

The defendant shall pay any monetary assessments ordered during the period of probation pursuant to a schedule of payments which the probation officer will establish.

#### NOTES

NOTE: The sentence in VN01-11-0105-01 is discharged unimproved.

=====

The order dated September 5, 2002 is modified as follows:

- Restitution in the amount of \$4,573.52 is ordered to be paid to Salesianum School.
- Restitution in the amount of \$4,144.25 is ordered to be paid to Flik Corporation Insurance.
- Restitution in the amount of \$396.94 is ordered to be paid to Kid Shelleens.
- (9) Restitution in the amount of \$730.00 is ordered to be paid to Zurich Insurance.
- Restitution in the amount of \$2,177.40 is ordered to be paid to Dead Presidents Pub.
- Restitution in the amount of \$4,092.55 is ordered to be paid to Hartford Mutual Insurance Company.
- Restitution in the amount of \$1,991.00 is ordered to be paid to Kozy Korner Restaurant.
- (6) Restitution in the amount of \$4,700.00 is ordered to be paid to AIG Insurance.
- Restitution in the amount of \$640.00 is ordered to be paid to Traci Parman.

In all other respects, the order dated September 5, 2002 shall remain the same.

<sup>\*\*</sup>APPROVED ORDER\*\* 4 January 14, 2004 15:01

Document 28-2 Filed 05/02/2007 Page 31 of 47 Case 1:06-cr-00069-GMS

STATE OF DELAW

VS.

LIONEL BELL

DOB: 11/23/1982 SBI: 00460715

=====

JUDGE RICHARD S GEBELEIN

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ATTEST SHARON AGNEW

### FINANCIAL SUMMARY

STATE OF DELAWARE vs.

LIONEL BELL

DOB: 11/23/1982 SBI: 00460715

#### CASE NUMBER: 0202014552

#### SENTENCE CONTINUED:

TOTAL DRUG DIVERSION FEE ORDERED	
TOTAL CIVIL PENALTY ORDERED	
TOTAL DRUG REHAB. TREAT. ED. ORDERED	
TOTAL EXTRADITION ORDERED	
TOTAL FINE AMOUNT ORDERED	200.00
FORENSIC FINE ORDERED	
RESTITUTION ORDERED	23445.66
SHERIFF, NCCO ORDERED	5.80
SHERIFF, KENT ORDERED	
SHERIFF, SUSSEX ORDERED	
PUBLIC DEF, FEE ORDERED	50.00
PROSECUTION FEE ORDERED	100.00
VICTIM'S COM ORDERED	36.00
VIDEOPHONE FEE ORDERED	3.00
TOTAL	23,840.46

23,840.46

SURCHARGES

STATE OF DELAWARE

VS.

LIONEL BELL

DOB: 11/23/1982 SBI: 00460715

CASE NUMBER:

0202014552

CRIM ACTION #	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
IN02-04-1734	VCF	18.00
IN02-04-1730	VCF	18.00

STATE OF DELAWARE

vs.

LIONEL BELL

DOB: 11/23/1982 SBI: 00460715

#### CASE NUMBER:

0202014552

#### AS TO IN02-04-1739 :

The defendant shall pay restitution joint/severally as follows:

- \$ 2177.40 to DEAD PRESIDENTS PUB
- \$ 4092.55 to HARTFORD MUTUAL INS.
- \$ 1991.00 to KOZY KORNER
- \$ 4700.00 to AIG INSURANCE
- \$ 640.00 to TRACI PARMAN

#### AS TO IN02-04-1734:

The defendant shall pay restitution joint/severally as follows:

- \$ 396.94 to KID SHELLEENS
- \$ 730.00 to ZURICH INSURANCE

#### AS TO IN02-04-1730 :

The defendant shall pay restitution joint/severally as follows:

- \$ 4573.52 to SALESIANUM H. S.
- \$ 4144.25 to FLIK CORP. (INS.)

# **GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 6**

## RULE 9 SUMMONS - RE-INDICTMENT BELL, NOBLE AND RUTHERFORD ONLY

### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

#### IN AND FOR NEW CASTLE COUNTY

THE STATE OF DELAWARE	)	PHH
V.	) ) INDICTMENT BY THE GRAND JURY	 5
LIONEL BEEL STEVEN GRIMES ROAN NOBLE DAMON RUTHERFORD	) I.D. # 0305018856 ) 0305018737 ) 0305018860 ) 0305018872	

The Grand Jury charges ELONEL BELL, STEVEN GRIMES, ROAN NOBLE AND

DAMON RUTHERFORD with the following offenses:

#### **COUNT I. A FELONY**

03 - 09 - 197/  $T_{HN} 03 - 09 - 0146$   $T_{HN} 03 - 09 - 1975$   $T_{HN} 03 - 09 - 1979$ 

ROBBERY FIRST DEGREE in violation of Title 11, Section 832 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

### LICAL BELL, STEVEN GRIMES, ROAN NOBLE AND DAMON

RUTHERFORD, on or about the 26th day of May, 2003, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, when in the course of committing theft, did use or threaten the immediate use of force upon Joseph Toner, with intent to prevent or overcome resistance to the taking of property or to the retention thereof immediately after the taking or with the intent to compel

said person to deliver up property or to engage in other conduct which aided in the commission of the theft, and in the course of the commission of the crime or the immediate flight therefrom, one of them caused physical injury to Joseph Toner, who was not a participant in the crime.

#### **COUNT II. A FELONY**

POSSESSION OF A FIREARM DURING THE COMMISSION OF A FELONY in violation of Title 11, Section 1447A of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

## HONEL BELL, STEVEN GRIMES, ROAN NOBLE AND DAMON

RUTHERFORD, on or about the 26th day of May, 2003, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did knowingly possess a gun, a firearm as defined by Title 11, Section 222 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended, during the commission of Robbery First Degree, a felony as set forth in Count I of this indictment which is incorporated herein by reference.

#### **COUNT III. A FELONY**

$$\sqrt{103} - 09 - 197$$
  
 $\sqrt{103} - 09 - 0148$   
 $\sqrt{103} - 09 - 1977$   
 $\sqrt{100} - 09 - 1981$ 

ASSAULT FIRST DEGREE in violation of Title 11, Section 613 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

### LIONEL BELL, STEVEN GRIMES, ROAN NOBLE AND DAMON

RUTHERFORD, on or about the 26th day of May, 2003, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did recklessly engage in conduct which created a substantial risk of death to Joseph Toner by, inter alia, struggling with him while in possession of a loaded firearm, which conduct caused serious physical injury to Joseph Toner.

#### COUNT IV. A FELONY

Tan C	D3 —	09-	1974
T#N_	03-	-09-	-1978
T#N_	03	-09	-1982
∠ #N			

CONSPIRACY SECOND DEGREE in violation of Title 11, Section 512 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

IONEL BELL, ROAN NOBLE AND DAMON RUTHERFORD, on or about the 26th day of May, 2003, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, when intending to promote or facilitate the commission of the felony of Robbery, did agree with each other and/or Steven Grimes and/or an unknown female that they or one or more of them would engage in conduct constituting said felony or an attempt or solicitation to commit said felony, and the defendant or one of the co-conspirators did commit an overt act in pursuance of said conspiracy by committing said felony as set forth in Count I of this Indictment which is herein incorporated by reference.

#### COUNT V. A FELONY

T#N 03-09-014

CARRYING A CONCEALED DEADLY WEAPON in violation of Title 11, Section 1442 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

STEVEN GRIMES, on or about the 26th day of May, 2003, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did knowingly and unlawfully carry concealed upon or about his person a gun, a deadly weapon as defined by Title 11, Section 222 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

#### COUNT VI. A FELONY

IIN 03-09-1764

CARRYING A CONCEALED DEADLY WEAPON in violation of Title 11, Section 1442 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

STEVEN GRIMES, on or about the 26th day of May, 2003, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did knowingly and unlawfully carry concealed upon or about his person a gun, a deadly weapon as defined by Title 11, Section 222 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

#### COUNT VII. A MISDEMEANOR

T#NO3-09-1765

POSSESSION OF A NON-NARCOTIC SCHEDULE I CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE in violation of Title 16, Section 4754 of the Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

STEVEN GRIMES, on or about the 26th day of May, 2003, in the County of New Castle, State of Delaware, did knowingly and unlawfully possess Marijuana, a Non-Narcotic Schedule I Controlled Substance as classified under Title 16, Section 4714 (d)(19) of the

Delaware Code of 1974, as amended.

A TRUE BILL

(FOREPERSON)

CENTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ATTEST SHARON AGNEW

## **GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 7**

Case 1:06-cr-00069-GMS Document 28-2 Filed 05/02/2007 Page 42 of 47 Superior Court the State of Delaware, NEW ASTLE County

## PLEA AGREEMENT

			0	И
State of Delaware v. LIONEL	BELL			
Case No(s): 0305018856 Cr.A.#s:	*			
☐ Title 11HAB. OFFENDER ☐ RULE 11(e)(1)(C) — If out of guideline, reason	n is as follo	BOOT CAMP ELIC	GIBLE	☐ INELIGIBL
☐ Title 11, §4336, sex offender notification requirements.			e), forensic fine	☐ \$100(F), ☐ \$50(M
Defendant will plead guilty to:	•			
Count Cr.A.#	Charge	[LIO if applicabl	le]	
2 NO3 07 1777	KUBBE		71 83	-
2 N 03-09-1973 2 N 03-09-1972	POW	OCF [LIC		612(a)(2)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>
				-
Upon the sentencing of the defendant, a nol charges on this indictment: 0305015554  Count Cr.A# Cl	olle prosequi Ç Charge	i is entered on □ th	e following char	ges/Vall remaining
POWDEF - Jys L I sus	Prsi 1	Mmmediate Sent	encing for	18 MCS LTIE
ASSAULT 2 - 5 yrs LV SUS	sa	If mos LTI	Concurr	the
State and Defendant agree to the following:  ☐ Restitution: ☐ No				
☐ Other Conditions:	co	ntact w/ <u>Josep</u>	H TONER	
1 7 1		:	1/1	- N/
DAG: ATVORED J. VELLA PRINT NAME	<u> </u>	DEF. COUNSEL:	SISHEY	NAME
SIGNATURE			Make	
Date: 3/1/04	•	DEFENDANT: CHILLED AS A ATTEST SHAPE		BUL
XC: Attorney for Defendant, Defendant Attorney General, Attorney General Worksheet	İ	ATTEST SHARO PROTHONOTAP BY 2015	AN LANGINEW	e of

# **GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 8**

DOC BB PP SO

IN 5 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE ST 3 OF DELAWARE IN AND FOR NEW CASTLE COUNTY

STATE OF DELAWARE

vs.

LIONEL BELL

Alias: NO ALIASES

DOB: 11/23/1982 SBI: 00460715

CASE NUMBER: 0305018856

CRIMINAL ACTION NUMBER:

PN03-09-1972 PDWDCF(F) LIO: PFDCF PN03-09-1973 ASLT 2ND(F) LIO: ASSAULT 1ST

CONSOLIDATED-CASE CONSOLIDATED-VOP

#### SENTENCE ORDER

NOW THIS 2ND DAY OF MARCH, 2004, IT IS THE ORDER OF THE COURT THAT:

The defendant is adjudged guilty of the offense(s) charged. The defendant is to pay the costs of prosecution and all statutory surcharges.

AS TO PN03-09-1972- : TIS PDWDCF

Effective June 3, 2003 the defendant is sentenced as follows:

- The defendant is placed in the custody of the Department of Correction for 5 year(s) at supervision level 5
- Suspended after serving 2 year(s) at supervision level 5
- For 2 year(s) supervision level 3
- Suspended after serving 12 month(s) at supervision level 3
- \*\*APPROVED ORDER\*\* 1 March 18, 2004 16:03

Case 1:06-cr-00069-GMS Document 28-2 Filed 05/02/2007 Page 45 of 47

vs.

LIONEL BELL

DOB: 11/23/1982 SBI: 00460715

- For 12 month(s) supervision level 2

The first 2 years of this sentence is a mandatory term of incarceration pursant to DE1114470000FB .

AS TO PN03-09-1973- : TIS ASLT 2ND

- The defendant is placed in the custody of the Department of Correction for 5 year(s) at supervision level 5
  - Suspended immediately
- For 2 year(s) supervision level 2

2

Probation is concurrent to criminal action number 03-09-1972 .

PROFHOROFARY

STATE OF DELAWARE VS.

LIONEL BELL

DOB: 11/23/1982 SBI: 00460715

CASE NUMBER: 0305018856

The defendant shall pay any monetary assessments ordered during the period of probation pursuant to a schedule of payments which the probation officer will establish.

Have no contact with Joseph Toner.

NOTES

JUDGE

JEROME O

Pursuant to S.B. 50, this case is consolidated with ID # 0202014552; Cr .A. No. VN02-04-1739-04, VN02-04-1730-04, and VN 02-04-1734-04, terms and conditions apply to all cases.

CENTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ATTEST SHARON AGNEW PROTHONOTARY STATE OF DELAWARE VS.

LIONEL BELL

DOB: 11/23/1982 SBI: 00460715

CASE NUMBER: 0305018856

SENTENCE CONTINUED:

TOTAL DRUG DIVERSION FEE ORDERED

TOTAL CIVIL PENALTY ORDERED

TOTAL DRUG REHAB. TREAT. ED. ORDERED

TOTAL EXTRADITION ORDERED

TOTAL FINE AMOUNT ORDERED

FORENSIC FINE ORDERED

RESTITUTION ORDERED

SHERIFF, NCCO ORDERED

SHERIFF, KENT ORDERED

SHERIFF, SUSSEX ORDERED

PUBLIC DEF, FEE ORDERED 50.00

PROSECUTION FEE ORDERED 100.00

VICTIM'S COM ORDERED

VIDEOPHONE FEE ORDERED 2.00

TOTAL 152.00

Social Secur. 7 \$ 222-64-9809